#### TC 61-10E Rev. 08/07 Page 1 of 4

# KENTUCKY TRANSPORTATION CABINET Department of Highways Division of Highway Design

## FINAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND PROJECT CERTIFICATION FOR FEDERALLY FUNDED PROJECTS

County	Item No.	_			
Federal No.	State No.				
Road Name					
From Station	To Station				
Project Description:	(From programming document, if applicable)				
	DATE				
Program Document (PR-1) Approval					
Survey Order Number					
Preliminary Line & Grade Inspection					
Final Inspection					
Drainage Inspection					
Geometric Design Approval					
Surface Design Approval					
Traffic Control Plan Approval					
Environmental Action Type					
Airport Clearance Permit (within 2 miles)					
Traffic Control Agreement (within city limits)					

### GEOMETRIC CRITERIA

Class of Highway				
Speed: A. Posted		B. Design		
If B is less than A, has desig	n speed selection bee	n documented and approved	l:	
A. On local roads and	collectors from Centra	I Office?		
B. On arterials from FI	∃WA?			
Type of Access Control				
Spacing (if partial contr	ol of access)			
		CRITERIA		ACTUAL
Pavement Width				
Shoulder Width (or Berm)				
Ditch Width & Slope				
Maximum Grade				
Minimum Radius e	=			
Bridge Clear Width				
Stopping Sight Distance			·	
Note(s)				
If actual is contrary to design	criteria, has design ex	xception been received		
from FHWA?				
Actual agrees with Geometric	c Design Sheet			

### **HEARING REQUIREMENTS**

Public Hearing Requirements: (check one)			
A. Noncontroversal, little right of way taken			
B. Opportunity offered, no requests			
C. Hearing held  Date			
	YES	NO	N/A
Letter of Authorization for Hearing or Opportunityreceived from Central Office			
Did the public hearing address all of the following?			
All alternates & their effects			
Right of way relocation & assistance			
Time schedule			
Environmental action			
Was the public hearing advertised at least 15 days priorto the hearing?			
Was a 30-day review time allowed for the publicavailability of the EA/FONSI?			
Was a 45-day review time allowed for the publicavailability of the draft EIS?			
Did the Hearing Notice or the Opportunity for Hearing Notice advise the public of the environmental action?			
COMMENTS:			

### PLAN DEVELOPMENT

At a minimum, all of the following are to be invited to inspections. Check those actually in attendance.

	PL&G	FINAL			
C.O. Location					
C.O. Environmental					
District Design					
District Right of Way					
District Utilities					
District Construction					
District Traffic					
District Maintenance					
Consultant (if applicable)					
			YES	NO	N/A
Project Team Meetings documented in inspe	ection repor	t			
Traffic control discussed in inspection report	s				
Appropriate environmental checklists attac	hed to the	reports			
PL&G, final, and drainage inspection commente plans	ents incorpo	orated into			
Soil profile recommendations incorporated_					
Plans in accordance with surface design					
Type of access control specified on the plan	ıs				
Access control spacing meets requirements					
Right of way adequate for construction and Nonparticipating items identified					
Railroad protection satisfactory					
Erosion control items shown					
Sidewalk handicap ramp shown					
Environmental mitigation measures included applicable					
Accident data reviewed before implementing	3R project	ts			
Certified By:					
TEBM for Preconstruction		 Date			
Reviewed By:					
Project Management Coordinate			D	ate	